



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/726,048	12/01/2003	Becky Jean Gibson	260-001	2653
	7590 08/20/200 RATIONAL SOFTWA		EXAMINER	
McGuinness & Manaras LLP			SHIH, HAOSHIAN	
125 NAGOG PARK ACTON, MA 01720			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2173	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/726,048	GIBSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Haoshian Shih	2173				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESCRIPTION OF THE MAILING	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 J	lune 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-4, 6-8, 10-11, 13-15, 17-18, 20-19</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-8,10,11,13-15,17,18,20-22 a</u> 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration. nd 24 is/are rejected.	plication.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Double S) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1, 3-4, 6-8, 10-11, 13-15, 17-18, 20-22 and 24 are pending in this application and have been examined in response to application amendment filed on 06/19/2007.
- 2. Claims 2, 5, 9, 12, 16, 19, 23 and 25-27 are canceled without prejudice.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 3, 10 and 17 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), because each claim depend on a canceled claim, respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-4, 6-8, 10-11, 13-15, 17-18, 20-22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mag Hourihan (Hourihan, "Using JavaScript to Create a Powerful GUI) and W3C HTML 4.01 specification (W3C) and Shiran (Shiran, "Creating A modal Dialog Box").

5. As to **INDEPENDENT** claim 1, Hourihan discloses a method for creating at least one accessible graphical image, comprising:

providing said at least one accessible graphical image within a graphical object (pg.2, paragraph Building a Simple Toolbar, fig.1; "creating a simple toolbar to hold three buttons"),

wherein said providing said at least one accessible graphical image is responsive to at least one image command (pg. 2, paragraph Using JavaScript to Manipulate Text Selections, "function format_sel()"; pg.4, paragraph Putting it All Together With HTML, "onclick="format_sel('b')"; "onclick" represents a mouse click event, "format_sel('b') represent a Bold text changing command),

said image command including a first attribute determining the processing of mouse click events (pg.4, "onclick" handles a click event from a mouse), wherein said first attribute is an event handler attribute that invokes said software routine (pg.2, "format_sel()"; pg.4, "onclick="format_sel ('b')); operable to perform said predetermined functions associated with said accessible graphical image (pg.4, src="bold.gif"; an image file is associated with a button).

Hourihan does not disclose a second attribute determining the processing of at least one predetermined keyboard event, a third attribute having a parameter indicating an ordinal value to be associated with at least one graphical image and providing a modal dialog box graphical object.

Art Unit: 2173

In the same field of endeavor, W3C discloses a second attribute determining the processing of at least one predetermined keyboard event, wherein said first attribute is an event handler operates to compare a keyboard event object value indicating which key was pressed (17.5; "onkeypress" and "onkeydown") with a predetermined value indicating that the return key was pressed, and in the event that said event object value matches said predetermined value (17.11.1, "Tabbbing keys"; a user agent configures the functionality of each key, a comparison mechanism is indicated in order to specific user defined functions to different keys), and preventing an actual carriage return from being performed (17.11.1, "Tabbing keys"; since the "enter" key is used to activate a selected element, an actual carriage return is then prevented. Further, it is well known in the art for the enter key to perform different functions under different environments; for example, enter key can be used to affirm a selection of a button of a web page, the same enter key can also be used in a word document to produce a carriage return function).

Further, W3C discloses a third attribute having a parameter indicating an ordinal value to be associated with said at least one graphical image, wherein said ordinal value represents a relative position within an ordering of graphical images within said modal dialog box graphical object, whereby a user is allowed to access said at least one graphical image by using a keyboard action resulting in ones of said graphical images

Art Unit: 2173

within said modal dialog box being sequentially accessed responsive to said ordering of graphical images within said dialog box (ch. 17.11.1 Tabbing navigation).

Further more, W3C discloses wherein said first attribute and said second attribute both pass the same parameter to said software routine operable to perform said predetermined function associated with said accessible graphical image, such that said software routine operable to perform said predetermined function associated with said accessible graphical image operates the same in response to a user pressing said enter key and in response to said user performing a mouse click (17.5; a button element is associated with various mouse handlers and keyboard handlers such as "onmousedown", "onclick", "onkeypress" and on "onkeydown", as well as image container such as "IMG" element).

W3C does not disclose a modal dialog box.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teaching of Hourihan *and* W3C before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the graphical object taught by Hourihan *to* include keyboard and tab events taught by W3C with the motivation being to increase the usability of the graphical object (W3C, 17.5).

In the same field of endeavor, Shiran discloses the graphical object is a modal dialog box (pg. 1; window.showModalDialog() function call).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teaching of Hourihan and W3C and the teaching of Shiran before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the multi-accessible graphical object taught by Hourihan and W3C to include a modal dialog box taught by Shiran with the motivation being to provide a program alert in response to important conditions (pg.1, paragraph 1).

- 6. As to **INDEPENDENT** claim 8 is similar to claim 1, it is rejected under same rationale.
- 7. As to **INDEPENDENT** claim 15 is similar to claim 1, it is rejected under same rationale.
- 8. As to **INDEPENDENT** claim 22 is similar to claim 1, it is rejected under same rationale.
- 9. As to **INDEPENDENT** claim 25 is similar to claim 1, it is rejected under same rationale.
- 10. As to claims 3, 10, 17 and 24, Hourihan discloses wherein said at least one image command further comprises a fourth attribute having a parameter including text associated with said at least one graphical image (pg. 4, paragraph Putting it all

Application/Control Number: 10/726,048

Art Unit: 2173

together with HTML; "alt" attribute). Hourihan does not specifically disclose wherein said text is accessible to a screen reader program for conversion to an audio output signal.

W3C discloses wherein said text is accessible to a screen reader program for conversion to an audio output signal (ch. 13.8 How to specify alternate text).

- 11. As to claims 4, 11 and 18, Hourihan discloses wherein said at least one image command is stored within a document containing formatting information for a user interface, wherein said document is a Web page, and wherein said image command is a tag of a mark-up programming language (pg.2, paragraph Building a Simple Toolbar, fig.1; pg. 4-5, paragraph Putting it All Together With HTML).
- 12. As to claims 6, 13 and 20, Hourihan discloses wherein said at least one accessible graphical image comprises a plurality of accessible graphical images (pg.2 paragraph Building a Simple Toolbar, fig.1; a bold, an italic and a link image), wherein each of said accessible graphical images is associated with a respective one of a plurality of predetermined functions, wherein each of said plurality of predetermined functions comprises one of a set of tool bar accessible functions (pg. 2, paragraph Using JavaScript to Manipulate Text Selections, "function format_sel()"; pg. 4-5, paragraph Putting it All Together With HTML, "onclick="format_sel('I'), "onclick="format_sel('b'), "onclick="linsert_link()").

Application/Control Number: 10/726,048 Page 8

Art Unit: 2173

13. As to claims 7, 14 and 21, Hourihan discloses wherein said plurality of predetermined functions comprise text formatting operations, and wherein said software routine operable to perform said predetermined function is further operable to perform an associated one of said text forwarding operations (pg.2-3 paragraph Using JavaScript to Manipulate Text Selections; "function format_sel()").

Response to Arguments

- 14. Applicant's arguments filed on 06/19/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 15. Applicant argues that Hourihan does not suggest the desirability of providing keypress operability.

In response to applicant's argument, Hourihan discloses in pg.5, "Conclusion" a suggestion of using keystrokes to create shortcut keys for repetitive tasks is indicated.

16. Applicant argues that the combined references do not suggest handling keyboard events using a keyboard event handler in an tag that is tab indexed.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,048

Art Unit: 2173

"onkeydown".

In response to applicant's argument, W3C discloses in 17.5 and 17.11.1 that a tab navigation is associated with button elements. The button elements contains images by way of the "IMG" element and keyboard handling events such as "onkeypress" and

Page 9

17. Applicant argues that determining the processing of at least one predetermined keyboard event, wherein said first attribute is an event handler operates to compare a keyboard event object value indicating which key was pressed with a predetermined value indicating that the return key was pressed, and in the event that said event object value matches said predetermined value and preventing an actual carriage return from being performed.

In response to applicant's argument, see rationale addressed in the rejection of claim 1 above.

18. Applicant argues that keyboard handling event is not disclosed in W3C.

In response to applicant's argument, W3C discloses in 17.5 that multiple attributes such as "onclick", "onkeypress", "onkeydown" are provided to offer a richer accessibility.

See claim 1 for supplement remarks to the arguments.

Conclusion

1. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Haoshian Shih whose telephone number is (571) 270-1257. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 0730-1700.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (571) 272-4048. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,048

Art Unit: 2173

Page 11

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TADESSE HAILU